

**Cleworth, A. B., & Co., Ltd.**

Practical humidity tables for engineers, textile manufacturers, etc., based upon Prof. Glaisher's hygrometrical tables. London. 1925. 123 p. tables. 17 cm.

**Diseker, Ellis G., & Yoder, Robert E.**

Sheet erosion studies on Cecil clay. Auburn, Alabama. 1936. 52 p. illus., tabs., diagrs. 23 cm. (Alabama polytechnic institute. Agricultural experiment station. Bulletin 245, November 1936.)

**Federal writers' project.**

Washington, city and capital. Federal writers' project, Works progress administration. Washington. 1937. xxvi, 1140 [1] p. incl. front., illus., port., plans. maps (part fold.; part in pocket) 24 cm. (American guide series.)

**Franz Josef land, Calm bay. Polar station.**

Meteorological observations of the Polar station at Franz Josef Land, Calm Bay, Wintering of 1930-1931. Leningrad. 1933. 51 p. tabs., 32½ cm.

**Hersey, M. D.**

Aneroid investigations in Germany. Washington. 1921. 9 p. tabs. 26½ cm. [Mimeographed.] (National advisory committee for aeronautics. Technical notes, No. 72 Oct. 1921.)

**Kunerth, William, & others.**

The amount of luminous and of ultra-violet solar radiation received on certain vertical planes. Ames, Iowa. [1936.] 12 p. figs., tabs. 23 cm.

**Luckiesh, Matthew, & Moss, Frank K.**

Visibility: its measurement and significance in seeing. Phila., Pa. 1935. p. 431-466, illus., tabs., diagrs. 28 cm. (Repr.: Jnl. Frank. inst. v. 220, no. 4, Oct., 1935.)

**Mavis, F. T., & Soucek, Edward.**

A summary of hydrologic data, Ralston Creek watershed, 1924-1935. Iowa City, Iowa. 1936. 70 p. illus., fold. maps, tabs., diagrs. 23½ cm. (University of Iowa. Studies in engineering. Bulletin 9.)

**Mimno, Harry Rowe.**

The physics of the ionosphere. Menasha, Wisconsin. 1937. 43 p. illus., diagrs. 28 cm. (Reprinted from Reviews of modern physics, Vol. 9, January 1937.)

**Munk, Max M.**

Stresses produced on an airship flying through gusty air. Washington. 1922. 5 p. 26½ cm. (Mimeographed.) (National advisory committee for aeronautics. Technical notes, No. 111, September, 1922.)

**Palmén, E.**

Registrierballonaufstiege in einer tiefen Zyklone. Helsingfors. 1935. 32 p. figs., tabs., diagrs. 24 cm. (Mitteilungen des Meteorologischen instituts der Universität. Helsingfors. No. 26.)

**Perret, Frank Alvord.**

The eruption of Mt. Pelée, Washington, 1935. 1929-1932. (In Carnegie institution of Washington. Publication no. 458.) 126 p. front., illus., fold. diagr. 29 cm.

**Pinkerton, Robert M.**

Calibration and lag of a Friez type cup anemometer. Washington. 1930. 8 p. illus., table, diagrs. 26½ cm. (National advisory committee for aeronautics, Technical notes, no. 341 June 1930.)

**Puppo, Agostino.**

Problemi relativi alla definizione del clima solare. Roma. 1936. 11 p. 24 cm. (Consiglio nazionale delle ricerche. Pubblicazioni del Comitato per la geodesia e la geofisica, No. 8.)

**Retzow, Ulrich.**

Elektrotechnik und Witterung. Berlin. 1936. 121 p. tabs., diagrs. 22 cm.

**Rittmann, A.**

Vulkane und ihre Tätigkeit. Stuttgart. 1936. 188 p. illus., tabs. (part fold.) diagrs. 22 cm.

**U. S. Coast and geodetic survey.**

Magnetic declination in the United States, 1930, by Daniel L. Hazard. Washington. 1932. 41 p. illus., tabs., fold. map. 23½ cm. (Serial No. 540.)

**U. S. Congress. Committee on flood control.**

Supplemental report to the Committee on flood control, House of Representatives, Seventy-fourth Congress, Second session on H. R. 12517, to provide for a permanent system of flood control, and for other purposes. Washington. 1936. 70 p. tabs. 23 cm.

**U. S. National advisory committee for aeronautics.**

Aircraft accidents. Method of analysis. Report prepared by special committee on the nomenclature, subdivision, and classification of aircraft accidents. Washington. 1928. 18 p. tabs., diagr. 28 cm. (National advisory committee for aeronautics. Report No. 308.)

**U. S. National resources committee.**

Public works planning. National resources committee, December 1936. Washington. 1936. 221 p. maps, tabs., diagrs. 29 cm.

Progress report with statements of coordinating committees. June 15, 1936. Submitted to the President in accordance with Executive order no. 7065, June 7, 1935, by the National resources committee. [Washington. 1936.] 61 p. maps (1 fold.) 28½ cm.

**U. S. Weather bureau.**

Average conditions of wind and weather, north Atlantic ocean and West Indian waters. Compiled for the information of ocean travelers, from data in the Marine division of the Weather bureau. By W. F. McDonald. Issued May 1936. Washington. 1936. 9 p. 23 cm.

**Utterback, C. L., & Jorgensen, Wilhelm.**

Scattering of daylight in the sea. Seattle, Washington. 1936. 7 p. figs., tabs. 25½ cm. (Reprint from Journal of Oceanographic society of America, v. 26, June 1936.)

**Walker, Gilbert Thomas.**

Seasonal weather and its prediction. (From Smithsonian inst. Annual report, 1935. Washington, 1936. p. 117-138, illus. (charts), diagrs. 23 cm.) (Reprinted from the Report of the British association for the advancement of science for 1933.)

**Walters, R. C. S.**

The nation's water supply. London. 1936. xv, 244 p. illus., maps (part fold. in pocket), tables, diagrs. 23½ cm.

**Wüst, Georg.**

Kuroshio und Golfstrom: eine vergleichende hydrodynamische Untersuchung. Berlin. 1936. 69 p. illus., maps (part fold.), tabs., diagrs. 26 cm. (Veröffentlichungen des Instituts für Meereskunde an der Universität Berlin. Neue Folge. A. Geograph.-naturwissen. Reihe. Heft 29, Mai 1936.)

## SOLAR OBSERVATIONS

NOTE ON THE DETERMINATION OF THE TRANSMISSION OF COLOR SCREENS EMPLOYED IN SOLAR RADIATION INTENSITY MEASUREMENTS FOR THE COMPUTATION OF ATMOSPHERIC TURBIDITY FROM WHICH THE WATER VAPOR CONTENT OF THE ATMOSPHERE IS DETERMINED.

By H. H. KIMBALL, Research Associate, Harvard University

Ångström, and also Feussner, have called attention to the fact that different samples of the Schott-glass filters OG<sub>1</sub> and RG<sub>2</sub> vary somewhat among samples of the same color. The cut-off curve in the shorter wavelength end

of the spectrum is very steep, and the wave lengths between which it occurs vary somewhat with temperature as well as with the individual screens examined. Ångström advises that this cut-off curve should be determined for each screen employed, as this would help to determine the transmission of the screen as a whole.

So far as I am aware, this has not generally been done. After Hoelper wrote me that American atmospheric turbidity determinations did not seem to be in accord with European determinations, an attempt was made to determine the transmission of screens that have been

employed at Blue Hill and Washington. In this work, the National Bureau of Standards has been most helpful, especially through the determination of the cut-off curves of samples of yellow and red Schott glass that they believed to be similar in character to screens employed in our regular published measurements.

It was hoped that from these measurements the transmission of our own screens could be determined with the required accuracy. During the month just passed a great deal of time was consumed in trying out different combinations of transmissions in the hope that a combination would be found that would seem to be adapted to Blue Hill screens.

Dr. Brooks computed, from the tests made with the Bureau of Standards' screens, the transmission of the yellow screen to be 0.868, and that of the red screen to be 0.833. When these coefficients were applied to Blue Hill measurements, the results obtained were unsatisfactory.

The results obtained from the transmission coefficients employed by Mr. Hand in reducing measurements obtained at Washington (see this REVIEW for April, 1937, p. 156), also were inadequate when applied to Blue Hill measurements. A great many combinations of transmission coefficients were then tried out, with the result that with a value very close to Dr. Brooks' computed value for the yellow screen, or 0.863, and a transmission coefficient of 0.852 for the red screen, fairly consistent results were obtained.

Commencing with the seventeenth, in the table for May, which accompanies this note, the values of  $\beta$  computed from the differences,  $I_m - I_r$ , and also from the differences,  $I_v - I_r$ , are given in the columns headed  $\frac{I_v}{.851+c}$  and  $\frac{I_r}{.840+c}$ .

While the results are not all that could be wished, neither set of values is persistently higher than the other, so that it seems fair to assume that some of the irregularities are due in part, at least, to irregularities in the sky conditions during the time the measurements were being made.

This assumption is supported by notes on sky conditions during solar observations. The sky on the twenty-first, twenty-fourth, and thirty-first was unusually free from haze, and the differences between the two sets of  $\beta$  values were not great.

It is hoped that at some time in the not distant future it will be possible to obtain accurate determinations of the cut-off curves of the glass screens  $OG_1$  and  $OR_2$  now in use at Blue Hill, without too much interruption to the observational program.

#### SOLAR RADIATION OBSERVATIONS DURING MAY 1937

By IRVING F. HAND, Assistant in Solar Radiation Investigations

For a description of instruments employed and their exposures, the reader is referred to the January, 1935 REVIEW, page 24.

Table 1 shows that solar radiation intensities averaged above normal for May at Washington and Madison, and below normal at Lincoln and Blue Hill. Although there was an appreciable amount of dust in the atmosphere at Lincoln, the skies for May at that station showed a decided improvement over those of the preceding month.

Whereas nearly all stations showed a deficiency in the amount of total solar and sky radiation during April, table 2 shows an excess at all stations for May with the exceptions of Riverside and Ithaca.

Table 3 shows an increase in the moisture content of the atmosphere over any preceding month of the year.

Polarization observations made at Washington on 8 days give a mean of 58 percent with a maximum of 64

percent on the eleventh. At Madison, observations made on 6 days give a mean of 56 percent with a maximum of 62 percent on the nineteenth. All of these values are close to the corresponding normals for the month.

TABLE 1.—Solar radiation intensities during May 1937

(Gram-calories per minute per square centimeter of normal surface)

WASHINGTON, D. C.												
Date	Sun's zenith distance											
	8 a. m.	78.7°	75.7°	70.7°	60.0°	0.0°	60.0°	70.7°	75.7°	78.7°	Noon	
	75th mer. time	Air mass										Local mean solar time
		A. M.					*1.0	P. M.				
		e	5.0	4.0	3.0	2.0		2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	
mm	cal.	cal.	cal.	cal.	cal.	cal.	cal.	cal.	cal.	mm.		
May 1.....	5.16	-----	0.88	1.04	1.20	1.44	-----	-----	-----	-----	3.45	
May 2.....	7.29	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.40	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.02	
May 3.....	5.36	-----	-----	1.07	1.23	1.42	-----	-----	-----	-----	3.63	
May 4.....	6.76	0.72	.88	1.03	1.22	1.44	1.13	-----	-----	-----	4.37	
May 7.....	6.02	.54	.70	.90	1.06	1.40	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.27	
May 10.....	8.81	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.46	.64	-----	-----	-----	5.36	
May 11.....	5.56	-----	-----	-----	1.23	1.44	1.09	-----	-----	-----	4.37	
May 21.....	7.29	-----	0.94	1.04	1.20	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.27	
Means.....	(.63)	.85	1.02	1.19	1.43	.95	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Departures.....	.00	+.13	+.18	+.18	+.15	+.02	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	

#### MADISON, WIS.

May 5.....	5.56	-----	-----	0.94	1.25	1.56	-----	-----	-----	-----	9.47
May 6.....	4.75	-----	-----	1.10	1.30	1.49	-----	-----	-----	-----	5.56
May 7.....	5.79	-----	-----	-----	1.12	1.43	-----	-----	-----	-----	4.95
May 8.....	7.04	-----	-----	-----	1.18	1.45	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.76
May 10.....	6.02	-----	0.82	.87	1.14	1.42	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.27
May 12.....	10.21	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.25	-----	-----	-----	-----	10.59
May 17.....	5.79	-----	.92	-----	1.30	1.47	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.02
May 19.....	5.16	-----	.84	-----	1.37	1.54	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.76
May 28.....	10.59	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.40	-----	-----	-----	-----	11.38
Means.....	-----	.86	.97	1.24	1.45	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Departures.....	-----	+.04	+.06	+.13	+.08	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

#### LINCOLN, NEBR.

May 5.....	7.87	-----	0.60	0.75	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	8.18
May 6.....	7.04	0.50	.55	.61	.85	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	5.79
May 7.....	7.57	-----	.65	.79	1.07	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.76
May 12.....	7.29	.63	.81	.95	1.14	1.48	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.76
May 15.....	8.81	-----	-----	.66	.95	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	10.59
May 17.....	4.48	-----	-----	-----	1.03	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	9.47
May 18.....	10.21	-----	-----	.45	.86	-----	0.96	0.71	0.56	0.43	10.59
May 22.....	9.14	-----	-----	-----	1.28	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.02
May 26.....	12.68	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.19	1.03	.89	.78	13.61
May 27.....	9.83	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	.88	.67	12.24
May 28.....	14.10	-----	-----	-----	.98	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	15.65
May 29.....	13.13	.65	.78	.95	1.19	1.40	-----	-----	-----	-----	13.61
Means.....	.59	.68	.74	1.04	(1.44)	(1.08)	(.87)	(.78)	.63	-----	-----
Departures.....	-.06	-.10	-.18	-.07	+.06	-.02	-.03	-.02	-.04	-----	-----

#### BLUE HILL, MASS.

May 1.....	4.2	0.54	0.90	1.04	1.21	1.41	1.18	1.05	0.80	-----	5.0
May 2.....	4.0	-----	.92	1.04	1.17	1.43	1.18	.96	.78	-----	3.8
May 3.....	4.4	-----	1.00	1.18	1.17	1.33	1.12	.93	.83	0.60	3.6
May 4.....	6.3	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.28	1.02	.89	.78	-----	3.0
May 9.....	7.3	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.34	1.12	.78	-----	-----	8.1
May 10.....	6.3	-----	-----	1.00	1.14	1.03	-----	-----	-----	-----	5.5
May 11.....	4.2	-----	.96	1.13	1.38	1.10	-----	-----	-----	-----	4.4
May 12.....	6.1	-----	.92	1.12	1.35	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	4.8
May 16.....	5.6	-----	-----	1.12	1.43	1.13	-----	-----	-----	-----	5.1
May 17.....	5.6	-----	-----	-----	1.31	1.02	-----	-----	-----	-----	5.3
May 18.....	6.8	-----	-----	.88	1.00	1.16	-----	-----	-----	-----	6.6
May 21.....	7.1	-----	-----	.96	1.18	1.45	-----	-----	-----	-----	5.8
May 23.....	10.1	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.05	-----	-----	-----	-----	11.5
May 24.....	7.9	-----	-----	.96	1.13	1.33	-----	-----	-----	-----	7.5
May 25.....	7.9	-----	-----	-----	1.11	1.34	1.14	-----	-----	-----	7.7
May 29.....	11.5	-----	-----	-----	-----	1.20	1.04	-----	-----	-----	10.5
May 30.....	11.9	-----	-----	-----	1.05	1.32	-----	-----	-----	-----	8.4
May 31.....	11.5	-----	-----	-----	1.00	1.20	.90	.78	-----	-----	10.4
Means.....	.54	.94	.99	1.11	1.30	1.08	.90	.80	.60	-----	-----
Departures.....	-.27	-.05	-.09	-.02	-.07	+.01	+.01	.00	-.16	-----	-----

\* Extrapolated.